

Rec'd 1/6/06



EI-1850

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD
Section of Environmental Analysis
ARRC NORTHERN RAIL EXTENSION PROJECT
Environmental Impact Statement

COMMENT FORM

Your input is an important element in the scoping phase of this project. To help us incorporate your views and suggestions, please provide your comments below and mail to the address preprinted on the back of this form. Please write legibly. You may attach additional sheets if necessary.

Please include your name and address so that we can add you to our mailing list if you would like to receive subsequent information on the project. Thank you for taking the time to provide us with your comments.

Comment deadline is: January 13, 2006.

Comments may be submitted today,
or sent to:

Surface Transportation Board
Case Control Unit
Attention: David Navecky
STB Finance Docket No. 34658
1925 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20423-0001

Name (Print) Terry Reily & Tamara Foy
Address 6939 Military Pipeline
City, State, Zip Salcha AK 99714
Phone _____
Email mushen07@hotmail.com

What potential environmental issues and impacts are you concerned about?

Environmental are always a concern, But the Military has never had a real concern about them. And neither has our Government. The public is the only ones who has to follow the rules or laws. Building cost would be lower if the rail was built on the west side of the Tanana River because of less residential properties by-ones, Road crossings, level grades which would keep fuel cost down after being built. On the west side the gravel base is closer to the surface to build from. Soil on the N-3 route impossible more pre-made dirt & silt filled soils, steeper grades more road crossings. N-3 route bring the rail over a major part of the Salcha fault line. The west route misses the fault line. Hazardous materials are a major concern. Fort Wainwright has complained about Haz-mat brought through the Base, so now the Rail Re-Alignment is being built to reroute the rail to compensate for the request. But the can be built through a residential area like Salcha. Why? Route N-2 & N-3 would have a lot of driveway crossings a big hazard; the west Route would have less bridges to be concern with lowering the cost.