

Correspondence

EI-6754

Other Submissions

In order to process your filing, please fill out the following information. If you do not know the docket number, please leave it blank and we will fill it out for you.

Please fill out the following information to help us complete your filing:

Docket #: -35095 --

Subject: * Port McKenzie Rail Estension Project EIS/Scoping Comments
First Name: * George
Middle Name: * Gregory
Last Name: * Howard
Address: * P.O. Box 243
12608 Florence Dr.
City: * Willow
State: * Alaska
Zip Code: * 99688-
Email Address: * geomary@mtaonline.net
Group/Affiliation:
Message:

I am opposed the the proposed Willow Routes because:

- 1) Cost estimates are the hightest of all alternatives. This, of coarse, is inturn passed down to me at the end of the food chain.
- 2) Impacts the greatest amount of incomaptable land uses; wetlands and water resources, established trail easements, legislatively designated areas, current and potential agricultural lands, historical lands, etc.
- 3) Impacts the greatest amount of historical and archeological sites, which could potentially mean additional high costs for mitigation.
- 4) Has the greatest impact to legislatively designated state refuges and recreation areas.

I am in favor of the Houston alternatives because:

- 1) Requires the fewest number of road crossings.
- 2) Impacts fewer developed parcels.
- 3) Impacts less historical and archeological sites.
- 4) Has more suitable soil conditions.
- 5) Is indorsed by the city, chamber, and resident groups.
- 6) City has planned infrastructure and industrial land options to enhance the Houston Options.
- 7) Project costs and potential mitigation costs are coniderably less than Willow alternatives.
- 8) Impacts more compatable land uses, and less non-compatible land uses.
- 9) Impacts less wetlands and water resources.
- 10) Impacts less legislatively designated state refuges and recreation areas.